

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,389	01/22/2001	Ryoji Nakamura	200708US0	1024
22850	7590 03/22/2004		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			YEUNG, GEORGE CHAN PUI	
	IA, VA 22314	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	mic		
_	09/765,389	NAKAMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	George C Yeung	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
!	—· s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		ters, prosecution as to the merits	is		
closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims			•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/ are pending in the application	1				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers			-		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc	- ' '	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	d).		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	·		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
•	n maiorite condon 25 H C.O. S	2.440(a) (d) an (0			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigra) All b) Some * c) None of:	i phonty under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received				
Certified copies of the priority document		polication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachment(s)		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		offormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim rejections-35 USC § 112

Claims 5, 6, 11, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for the following reasons:

- 1. It is not clear what is "a wash rice type" as recited in claim 5.
- 2. It is not clear what is intended by "wash-free" as recited in claim 6.

 Moreover, it is not clear what is "a wash-free milled rice."
- 3. There is no antecedent basis for "the boiled rice" as recited in claim 11, line 2.
- 4. It is also not clear what is "a cooked rice type" as recited in claims 18 and
- 19. The change of "A cooked rice type" to --A cooked rice product -- would obviate this rejection.
- 5. Claims 19 is also rejected as being an improper Markush claim since the member "dry curry" recited in the Markush grouping is not a species of the cooked rice product.

Claim rejections-35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,389

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1-5, 7-10 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kobayashi et al (Japanese Patent 3-195465). Kobayashi et al disclose a method of preparing cooked rice, the method comprises the steps of steaming washed, soaked raw rice at a temperature of 100°C for a period of from 10 to 15 minutes, and heating the steamed rice with warm water in a rice cooker, i.e., boiling the steamed rice with the warm water in the rice cooker.

Claim rejections-35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 11, 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al (Japanese patent 3-195465). It is not deemed that the features variously recited in dependent claims 6, 11, 12 and 19 would define unobvious subject matter over the teaching of Kobayashi et al in the absence of any new or unexpected results. The features recited in these dependent claims are considered to be obvious matters of routine optimization or choice depending upon the desired characteristics of the final rice product such as texture and taste.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al (Japanese patent 3-195465) in view of Miyagawa et al. It would have been obvious to steam the boiled rice of Kobayashi et al since Miyagawa et al show the conventional expedient of steaming raw rice, boiling the steamed rice, and then steaming the boiled rice.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George C. Yeung whose telephone number is (571) 272-1412. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0987.

G. C. Yeung/af March 16, 2004

GEORGE C.YEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Googl Young